

Condition Indices for Civil Works



**Civil Works asset
management for aging
infrastructure**



Tuesday August 15, 2006

**US Army Corps
of Engineers**

**Stuart Foltz and David
McKay
CERL / Facilities Division**

**Engineer Research and Development
Center**

Condition Indices for Civil Works

My objectives

- **Convince you that condition indexes are a necessary part of Asset Management**
- **Convince you that CIs will solve all problems**
- **Convince you to go home and use CIs**



Condition Indices for Civil Works

My objectives

- ✗ Convince you that we have to use condition indexes
- ✗ Convince you that CIs will solve all problems
- ✗ Convince you to go home and use CIs
- ✓ Appreciate what information is needed for Asset Management (macro level understanding)
- ✓ See condition Indexes as a family of capabilities
- ✓ Appreciate *condition assessment* as an Asset Management tool



Condition Indices for Civil Works

- This presentation:
 - Corps CW infrastructure
 - Asset Management policy issues
 - An Asset Management viewpoint
 - CI basics
 - Simplification
 - minutiae example: miter gate anchorage assembly
 - multi-level inspection
 - Relative risk CIs
 - Conclusions
 - Questions



Condition Indices for Civil Works

Civil Works Infrastructure

- 25,000 miles navigable waterways
 - 237 lock chambers at 192 sites
 - 926 shallow and deep draft harbors
- Premier Federal flood damage reduction agency
 - 383 major reservoirs
 - 8,500 miles of levees
- Fourth largest electrical utility in U.S.
 - produces 25% of all hydropower
- Leading provider of water based recreation
- Environmental steward of 12,000,000 acres of public lands and water



Condition Indices for Civil Works

Problems Corps-Wide

- Actual Operations & Maintenance (O&M) needs far outdistance the available dollars
 - more than 50% of locks & dams reached their **design life** in 2000
 - rapidly growing maintenance **backlog**
 - maintenance, repair, rehabilitation, enhancement
 - therefore all **levels of service** must be justified
- No reliable (universal and consistent) or **objective** means of communicating O&M needs, or of quantifying the impact of budget shortfalls exists
 - both the budget development and allocation processes are largely **subjective**
 - **target based budget allocations** (historic trend)
 - annually between **16,000 to 19,000 O&M work packages** are uploaded to HQUSACE



Condition Indices for Civil Works

- This presentation:
 - Corps CW infrastructure
 - **Asset Management policy issues**
 - An Asset Management viewpoint
 - CI basics
 - Simplification
 - minutiae example: miter gate anchorage assembly
 - multi-level inspection
 - Relative risk CIs
 - Conclusions
 - Questions



Condition Indices for Civil Works

Executive Order 13327, “Federal Real Property Asset Management” (February 4, 2004)

<http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2004/02/20040204-1.html>

- **Directs all major agencies to develop asset management plans.**
- **Creates FRPC (Federal Real Property Council) to establish guidance, and best practices.**
- **FRPC has identified and defined 23 mandatory Property Inventory Data Elements and Performance Measures that will be captured and reported by all agencies.**



Condition Indices for Civil Works

- 1. Real Property Type
- 2. Real Property Use
- 3. Legal Interest
- 4. Status
- 5. Historical Status
- 6. Reporting Agency
- 7. Using Organization
- 8. Size
- 9. Utilization (Performance Measure #1)
- 10. Value
- 11. Condition Index (Performance Measure #2)
- 12. Mission Dependency (Performance Measure #3)
- 13. Annual Operating and Maintenance Costs (Performance Measure #4)
- 14. Main Location
- 15. Real Property Unique Identifier
- 16. City
- 17. State
- 18. Country
- 19. County
- 20. Congressional District
- 21. ZIP Code
- 22. Installation and Sub-Installation Identifier
- 23. Restrictions



Condition Indices for Civil Works

- **FRPC Condition Index**

- **Metric**

$$CI = \frac{\text{(repair cost)}}{\text{(asset value)}}$$

- **Assessment**

- **Quick and dirty estimate**
 - **Network level accuracy**
 - **Project or component level accuracy**



Condition Indices for Civil Works

Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)

- **Worksheet for assessing government programs**
- **Focused on performance measures**
- **Does not directly require Asset Management but such a plan will help achieve a high score**



Condition Indices for Civil Works

Corps programs evaluated in PART:

- (1) Coastal Ports and Harbors (Moderately Effective)
- (2) Coastal Storm Damage Reduction (Results Not Demonstrated)
- (3) Corps Hydropower (Adequate)
- (4) Emergency Management (Moderately Effective)
- (5) Flood Damage Reduction (Results Not Demonstrated)
- (6) Inland Waterways Navigation (Results Not Demonstrated)
- (7) Non-regulatory Wetlands Activities (Results Not Demonstrated)
- (8) Recreation Management (Moderately Effective)
- (9) USACE Regulatory Program (Moderately Effective)



Condition Indices for Civil Works

WRDA revision

- **WRDA 2005 limits the Corps ability to re-program project money**
- **According to Gen Riley, Dir of CW:**
 - “the goal of FY 2006 program execution would be to ‘**accurately schedule work**’ based on appropriations and carry-over funds and ‘then to **execute the schedule.**’”
 - **The Corps will need to develop more accurate and omniscient spending plans.**



Condition Indices for Civil Works

- This presentation:
 - Corps CW infrastructure
 - Asset Management policy issues
 - **An Asset Management viewpoint**
 - CI basics
 - Simplification
 - minutiae example: miter gate anchorage assembly
 - multi-level inspection
 - Relative risk CIs
 - Conclusions
 - Questions



Condition Indices for Civil Works

Asset Management decision criteria

- **Infrastructure condition**
- **Infrastructure performance**
- **Risk**
- **Economics**
- **Policies, Corps priorities, national priorities**



Condition Indices for Civil Works

Asset Management criteria

- **Infrastructure condition** (family of capabilities)
 - Reliability
 - Failure probability
 - Probability of unsatisfactory performance
 - Serviceability
 - Expected remaining life
- Repair needs
- Age
- Function
- Risk (includes consequences)



Condition Indices for Civil Works

Asset Management criteria

- **Infrastructure condition**
 - Type of asset and inspection method
 - Light bulb
 - Motors
 - Roofing
 - Pavements
 - Mechanical equipment
 - Bridge
 - Miter gate
 - Levees
 - Spillways and dams



Condition Indices for Civil Works

Asset Management criteria

- **Infrastructure condition**
 - **Business line**
 - **Navigation**
 - **Hydropower**
 - **Flood damage reduction**
 - **Recreation**
 - **Environment**



Condition Indices for Civil Works

Asset Management criteria

- **Intended use**
 - **Inspection**
 - **standard process**
 - **identify safety & reliability problems**
 - **Condition tracking**
 - **Budgeting (macro)**
 - **Prioritization**
 - **Work planning (micro)**
 - **Forecasting**
 - **Expected remaining life**



Condition Indices for Civil Works

Asset Management criteria

- metrics
 - (1)

(2)

CS	Description	NBI Rating	Description	
1	No evidence of active corrosion	9	Excellent Condition	
2	Slight peeling of the paint, pitting or surface rust	8	Very Good Condition	
3	Peeling of the paint, pitting, surface rust	7	Good Condition	
4	Flaking, minor section loss (<10%)	6	Satisfactory Condition	
4	Flaking, swelling, moderate section loss (>10% but <30%). Structural analysis warranted	5	Fair Condition	
5	Flaking, swelling, moderate section loss (>10% but <30%). Structural analysis warranted	4	Poor Condition	
5	Heavy section loss (>30% of original thickness), may have holes through the	3	Serious Condition	
		2	Critical Condition	
		1	Imminent Failure	
		0	Failed	
5				
		R4	Research and Development	



US Army of Engineers

(3)

Condition Indices for Civil Works

Condition Index Benefits

- quantification of condition
- discover hidden problems
- diagnosis of concerns
- benchmarking, trends - creation of a condition history
- a training tool, educational
- institutionalize knowledge
- supporting documentation for prioritization and justification of work
- tool for communication with management
- information source for contracting scopes of work
- quantification of condition
 - for components
 - for a system (report card)
- a simplified estimate of relative risk
- a simplified estimate of reliability
- a data source for detailed risk analysis



Condition Indices for Civil Works

Asset Management criteria

- **Infrastructure performance (function)**
 - Does the infrastructure provide the intended benefit?
 - Breakwaters & Jetties
 - Rec facility
 - Levee
 - Lock
 - Buildings



Condition Indices for Civil Works

Asset Management criteria

- **Risk (reliability)**
 - Computationally precise
 - Data intensive
 - Provides measure of costs and benefits
 - Different risks aren't easily comparable



Condition Indices for Civil Works

Asset Management criteria

- **Economics**
 - Pavements
 - Minimize M&R costs
 - Navigation
 - Reliability (minimum delays)
 - Flood Damage Reduction
 - Dam safety
 - Recreation
 - NED
 - Environment
 - Preservation



Condition Indices for Civil Works

Asset Management criteria

- **Policies, Corps priorities, national priorities**
 - Mandates
 - Constituent influence
 - Balanced program



Condition Indices for Civil Works

**Asset Management M&R issues:
(budget prioritization issues)**

- **Reliability based**
 - **Safety and failure consequences**
- **Condition based**
 - **Deteriorated**
- **Quality of service (public facilities)**
 - **Modern, aesthetic, comfortable, dependable**
- **Performance**
 - **Not designed right or the need changes**



Economics and Policy

Condition Indices for Civil Works



US Army Corps
of Engineers

Engineer Research and Development
Center

Condition Indices for Civil Works



US Army Corps
of Engineers

Engineer Research and Development
Center

Condition Indices for Civil Works

Red Rock Dam



US Army Corps
of Engineers

Engineer Research and Development
Center

Condition Indices for Civil Works



US Army Corps
of Engineers

Engineer Research and Development
Center

Condition Indices for Civil Works Stewart Mountain



US Army Corps
of Engineers

Engineer Research and Development
Center

Condition Indices for Civil Works Stewart Mountain



US Army Corps
of Engineers

Engineer Research and Development
Center

Condition Indices for Civil Works



US Army Corps
of Engineers

Engineer Research and Development
Center

Condition Indices for Civil Works



US Army Corps
of Engineers

Engineer Research and Development
Center

Condition Indices for Civil Works Carters Dam



US Army Corps
of Engineers

Engineer Research and Development
Center

Condition Indices for Civil Works



US Army Corps
of Engineers

Engineer Research and Development
Center

Condition Indices for Civil Works

- This presentation:
 - Corps CW infrastructure
 - Asset Management policy issues
 - An Asset Management viewpoint
 - **CI basics**
 - Simplification
 - minutiae example: miter gate anchorage assembly
 - multi-level inspection
 - Relative risk CIs
 - Conclusions
 - Questions



Condition Index (CI)

- **Systematic Process**
 - condition evaluation
- **Inspection Procedures**
 - based upon objective measurements
 - guidance if subjectivity unavoidable



- **Rating Algorithms**
 - create index(es)
 - 0 to 100
- **Data is Valuable**
 - raw numbers meaningful
 - track & quantify



Condition Indices for Civil Works

Condition Index Scale


Action Zone	Condition Index (CI)	Condition Description	Recommended Action
1	85 to 100	<u>Excellent</u> : No noticeable defects. Some aging or wear may be visible.	Immediate action is not required.
	70 to 84	<u>Good</u> : Only minor deterioration or defects are evident.	
2	55 to 69	<u>Fair</u> : Some deterioration or defects are evident, but function is not significantly affected.	Economic analysis of repair alternatives is recommended to determine appropriate action.
	40 to 54	<u>Marginal</u> : Moderate deterioration. Function is still adequate.	
3	25 to 39	<u>Poor</u> : Serious deterioration in at least some portions of the structure. Function is inadequate.	Detailed evaluation is required to determine the need for repair, rehabilitation, or reconstruction. Safety evaluation is recommended.
	10 to 24	<u>Very Poor</u> : Extensive deterioration. Barely functional.	
	0 to 09	<u>Failed</u> : No longer functions. General failure or complete failure of a major structural component.	



Condition Indices for Civil Works

CI - Inland Navigation

lock gates, lockwalls, valves, dikes and
revetments




US Army Corps
of Engineers

Engineer Research and Development
Center

Condition Indices for Civil Works

CI Operating Equipment - All Business Areas

gears, couplings, racks, strut arms, rocker arms, chains, cable and hydraulic cylinders



Open Gears



Enclosed Gears & Oil




Condition Indices for Civil Works

CI in Coastal Navigation breakwaters and jetties





Condition Indices for Civil Works

ClIs in Flood Control
concrete dams, embankment dams,
gates



Condition Indices for Civil Works

CIs in Hydropower



Condition Indices for Civil Works

Condition Index Benefits

- **quantification of condition**
- **discover hidden problems**
- **diagnosis of concerns**
- **benchmarking, trends - creation of a condition history**
- **a training tool, educational**
- **institutionalize knowledge**
- **supporting documentation for prioritization and justification of work**
- **information source for contracting scopes of work**
- **quantification of condition**
 - **for components**
 - **for a system (report card)**
- **a simplified estimate of relative risk**
- **a simplified estimate of reliability**
- **a data source for detailed risk analysis**



Condition Indices for Civil Works

- **HQ issues**
 - **HQ mandated use but never looked at CIs rating**
 - **No policy for how to implement CIs**
 - **No uniformity in CI usage**
 - **Funding streams**
 - **O&M vs CG repairs**
 - **Automated Budgeting System - Baseline, Deferrable, Non-deferrable, Beyond ability**



Condition Indices for Civil Works

- **This presentation:**
 - Corps CW infrastructure
 - Asset Management policy issues
 - An Asset Management viewpoint
 - CI basics
 - **Simplification**
 - minutiae example: miter gate anchorage assembly
 - multi-level inspection
 - Relative risk CIs
 - Conclusions
 - Questions



Condition Indices for Civil Works

- **Perception & Problem:**
 - CI's too expensive
 - Payback (benefit) takes some time to realize
- **Objective:**
 - encourage broader use of index style methodology and meet the specific need
- **Approach**
 - make CI procedures simpler, faster, cheaper
 - minimize impact on original technical integrity



Condition Indices for Civil Works

- **Two simplification approaches**
 - **reduction by minutiae**
 - **step by step with stopwatch**
 - **simplify measurements**
 - **multi level / intensity inspections**
 - **purpose driven**
 - **first asks what is the information for**
 - **uses yes/no format to recommend inspection levels of varying complexity**



Condition Indices for Civil Works

- This presentation:
 - Corps CW infrastructure
 - Asset Management policy issues
 - An Asset Management viewpoint
 - CI basics
 - Simplification
 - minutiae example: miter gate anchorage assembly
 - multi-level inspection
 - Relative risk CIs
 - Conclusions
 - Questions




Miter Gate Measurements

WORKS

• Anchorage Movement	18% (<i>relative importance</i>)
• Elevation Changes	14%
• Miter Offset	08%
• Bearing Gaps	13%
• Downstream movement	11%
• Cracks	10%
• Leaks & Boils	05%
• Dents	02%
• Noise & Vibration	11%
• Corrosion	08%



We're Trying to Reduce This




US Army Corps
of Engineers

Engineer Research and Development
Center

To Something Like This

WORKS



**simplified
measurement for
anchorage
assembly**




**US Army Corps
of Engineers**

**Engineer Research and Development
Center**

Condition Indices for Civil Works

Actual CI vs. Simplified CI Using Real Data



US Army Corps
of Engineers

104 vertically framed leaves
(decreasing CI)

Engineer Research and Development
Center

Condition Indices for Civil Works

- **Miter Gate CI procedures**
 - **most “intensely objective” of all**
 - **nine other miter gate measurements**
 - **gages on anchor bars easy**
 - **use of binoculars in lieu of boat inspection**
 - **multi level / intensity check sheet will tell you if more measurements should be taken**
- **In many cases will be able to reduce the entire miter gate inspection time by 50% to 75%**



Condition Indices for Civil Works

- This presentation:
 - Corps CW infrastructure
 - Asset Management policy issues
 - An Asset Management viewpoint
 - CI basics
 - Simplification
 - minutiae example: miter gate anchorage assembly
 - **multi-level inspection**
 - Relative risk CIs
 - Conclusions
 - Questions



Condition Indices for Civil Works

Simplified CI - multi-level inspection approaches

- Level 1: desktop, based on existing data
- Level 2: walk around, yes/no type questions
 - function, needs or frequency based
 - criteria for moving to Level 3 or 4 inspection
- Level 3: specific component(s)
 - simplified procedure
 - by the orange book (as designed)
- Level 4: full scale engineered evaluation



Condition Indices for Civil Works

Lifting device structure (steel)

Function	Provide structural support for the hoisting device (and carrying tracks for mobile hoisting device)								
Excellent	Comprehensive structural inspection has been performed. All critical structural members fully accessible for inspection. No visible cracks, no visible member deformation, no corrosion, no missing bolts or members, no visible misalignment.								
Failed	Visible deformations, missing parts, or cracks of a load-carrying member. Corrosion resulting in the loss of more than 20% of the cross-section of critical structural member. Missing bolts or cracked welds on a failure critical member or connection (a non-redundant tensile member or connection whose loss would result in the collapse of the structure).								
Indicator	0 -- 9	10 -- 24	25 -- 39	40 -- 54	55 -- 69	70 -- 84	85 -- 100	Score	Comments
Displacement and deterioration									
No misalignment in a dedicated hoisting mechanism							X	100	
Displacement and deterioration of the structure causing visible or measurable misalignment in a hoisting mechanism with no effect on lifting						X			
Displacement and deterioration of the structure causing visible or measurable misalignment in a hoisting mechanism with excessive noise and vibration				X	X				
Displacement and deterioration of the structure causing visible or measurable misalignment in a hoisting mechanism with motor overload		X	X						
Displacement and deterioration of the structure causing visible or measurable misalignment in a hoisting mechanism that cannot be lifted	X								
Anchor bolts									
No corrosion							X		
Corrosion on nuts and bolts				X	X	X		80	some rust
Cracks in the concrete around the bolt and or missing concrete around the bolt		X	X						
At least one missing bolt or nut	X								
Cracks									



Condition Indices for Civil Works

- **This presentation:**
 - Corps CW infrastructure
 - Asset Management policy issues
 - An Asset Management viewpoint
 - CI basics
 - Simplification
 - minutiae example: miter gate anchorage assembly
 - multi-level inspection
 - **Relative risk CIs**
 - **Conclusions**
 - **Questions**



Condition Indices for Civil Works

Relative Risk CIs

- Provide framework for engineering assessment
 - Identify and quantify issues
 - No black box calculation
 - Calculations based on the engineers' priorities and ratings
- Assessment of performance (coastal)
- Risk based assessment (spillway and embankment)
- Not an inspection procedure (spillway and embankment)
- Provides measure of priority (spillway and embankment)
- Example CIs
 - Coastal structures
 - Embankment dams (geotechnical)
 - Spillways (gates - struct, mech, elect, ops)



Condition Indices for Civil Works

Relative Risk CIs

Embankment dams

Developers: Corps, Hydro Quebec

Users: **Hydro Quebec**, Manitoba Hydro, EDF

Spillways

Developers: Corps, Hydro Quebec, BurRec,
Manitoba Hydro,

Ontario Hydro

Users: **Hydro Quebec**, Manitoba Hydro, EDF



Condition Indices for Civil Works

Relative Risk CIs

- **CI methods for risk analysis**
 - **Not a fatigue or load capacity measure**
 - **Does not replace reliability or risk analysis**
 - **Provides a simpler complement to other methods**
 - **Think multi-level**
 - **No data issues**
 - **Used by Hydro Quebec for all dam safety prioritization**



Condition Indices for Civil Works

- This presentation:
 - Corps CW infrastructure
 - Asset Management policy issues
 - An Asset Management viewpoint
 - CI basics
 - Simplification
 - minutiae example: miter gate anchorage assembly
 - multi-level inspection
 - Relative risk CIs
 - **Conclusions**
 - **Questions**



Condition Indices for Civil Works

Conclusions

- **Inspection and assessment of infrastructure is a valuable component of infrastructure management**
- **Maintenance & Repair for a large, complex and varied infrastructure requires many technical and decision support tools**
- **ERDC has developed processes and methodologies to support many of these decisions within the Civil Works community but more remains to be done.**



Condition Indices for Civil Works

My objectives (workshop)

- ✓ Appreciate what information is needed for Asset Management (macro level understanding)
- ✓ Appreciate *condition assessment* as an Asset Management tool
- ✓ See condition Indexes as a family of capabilities



Condition Indices for Civil Works

The End

Questions?



US Army Corps
of Engineers

<http://www.cecer.army.mil/fl/remr/remr.htm>

ml Engineer Research and Development
Center